

The Supreme Court decision in favor of abortion is worse than its 1857 Dred Scott decision in favor of slavery. Slavery was a terrible thing but it did not directly kill human beings. On Monday (Jan. 22) a majority said that "an unwanted" child is grounds for an abortion, that is, destruction by a doctor without any trial or judicial protection. Hitler used the same argument in saying that unwanted racial minorities could be destroyed without a trial.

Unlike acts of Congress, a Supreme Court decision is the law of the case and not necessarily the law of the land. In the Texas and Georgia cases decided yesterday, a majority of the court decided that a consensus of medical evidence had not been produced in those cases to show that an unborn child is a human being during the first six months of pregnancy. Therefore the majority concluded that the unborn child does not have any Constitutional rights during his first 6 months of life. The failure of proof in the Texas and Georgia cases can be supplied from the scientific work of Dr. William Liley of New Zealand and many other modern researchers clearly proving by brain waves, heartbeats, fingerprints, and other unmistakable evidence that an unborn child is a living human being from the first month of pregnancy. Unfortunately many innocent infants will be destroyed before the Supreme Court can be educated as to the findings of modern embryology that unborn infants are human beings in their

first 6 months of life as well as from the seventh month on.

As in the Dred Scott slavery decision, the minority opinions of the Supreme Court in yesterday's abortion decisions supply the justice and respect for the weak and helpless which is missing from the majority opinions. Once the sacredness of life is denied by the courts, there may also be no Constitutional protection for unwanted old people.

January 23, 1973

J. F. Schlafly